
Effect of Time of Transition from Manuscript to Cursive Writing upon Subsequent Performance in Handwriting, Spelling, and Reading

Author(s): Wayne Otto and G. Lawrence Rarick

Reviewed work(s):

Source: *The Journal of Educational Research*, Vol. 62, No. 5 (Jan., 1969), pp. 211-216

Published by: [Taylor & Francis, Ltd.](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/27532191>

Accessed: 25/09/2012 17:43

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Taylor & Francis, Ltd. is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *The Journal of Educational Research*.

Effect of Time of Transition from Manuscript To Cursive Writing upon Subsequent Performance In Handwriting, Spelling, and Reading¹

WAYNE OTTO
The University of Wisconsin, Madison

G. LAWRENCE RARICK
University of California, Berkeley

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to determine whether there is an optimum time for the transition from manuscript to cursive writing in terms of subsequent performance in handwriting, spelling, and reading. Scores on reading, spelling, and handwriting measures were obtained from 120 fourth- and 120 sixth-grade subjects who had made the transition at one of the four most common transition times, i.e., first half of second grade, second half of second grade, first half of third grade, second half of third grade. Time of transition was associated only with handwriting performance: late transition was associated with rapid writing and early transition with legible writing.

THE COMMON practice in handwriting instruction is first to introduce manuscript style and then, usually at some time between the beginning of second and the end of third grade (6, Chapter 1; 8), to change to cursive style. Defense of the initial introduction of manuscript style is based upon the belief that a) primary children are better able to produce the basic strokes characteristic of manuscript letter forms than those required in cursive writing (1), and b) the reinforcement and consistency derived from initial exposure to manuscript writing and printed letter forms will enhance subsequent performance in reading, spelling, and cursive writing (2, 3, 4, 5, 7). There is some support for the initial introduction of manuscript style, but there is neither general consensus nor definitive research regarding the most advantageous time to make the transition from manuscript to cursive writing. The purpose of this study was to determine whether there is an optimum time for the transition.

The rationale for the present investigation was based upon the premise that the best test of the effect of modifications in educational practice is its long range impact. While measurements taken during and shortly after the institution of altered curricular practice provide some indication of its effect, a more rigorous and realistic test is its more lasting impact upon individual behavior. Therefore, the approach was to make observations of the handwriting, reading, and spelling performance of fourth- and sixth-grade children who had undergone the transition from manuscript to cursive handwriting at different times in their early elementary school experience. The specific purpose of the study was to determine if the

handwriting, reading, and spelling performance of fourth- and sixth-grade children is related to the time at which the transition from manuscript to cursive handwriting was made. Both fourth and sixth grade pupils were included in order to get evidence relevant to the notion that perhaps relationships would change with the passage of time and interjected experiences.

Method

Selection of Subjects

The decision was to select subjects from the entire population of fourth- and sixth-grade pupils in Wisconsin who had made the transition from manuscript to cursive handwriting at one of the four following times: first semester, Grade 2; second semester, Grade 2; first semester, Grade 3; second semester, Grade 3. Accordingly, a brief questionnaire was sent to all those public school districts in the state listed in the current public school directory having at least one hundred pupils in the elementary grades. The chief school administrator was asked to indicate 1) the system of handwriting instruction in use, 2) how long the system had been in use, 3) the grade and semester at which the transition from manuscript to cursive writing is made, 4) how long the transition time had been in effect, and 5) the number of school buildings in the district. Of 435 questionnaires sent, 379—or more than 87 percent—were completed and returned. Thus, a number of schools (see Table 1), almost all of which had been using their current transition time for five or more years, were identified at each of the transition times selected for study. When it was found that instructional materials published by the

Table 1.—Summary of Survey Data Regarding Transition Times and Instructional Materials

| Transition Time | Number of Districts Reporting | Number of Districts Using Zaner-Bloser Materials |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Grade 2, Fall..... | 6 | 6 (100%) |
| Grade 2, Spring..... | 125 | 94 (75.2%) |
| Grade 3, Fall..... | 163 | 132 (81.0%) |
| Grade 3, Spring..... | 35 | 27 (77.1%) |
| Grade 4, Fall..... | 1 | 1 (100%) |
| No specific time..... | 44 | --- |
| Data incomplete..... | 5 | --- |
| TOTAL..... | 379 | |

Zaner-Bloser Company were used in most districts, the decision was to sample subjects only from those districts. The reasoning was that this would provide at least some control over the instructional variable and give assurance that all subjects had been taught the same letter forms.

Three districts were chosen at random from each transition time category, for a total of twelve districts. In only one instance was it necessary to choose an alternate. Once a commitment to participate had been obtained, a representative (i.e. curriculum coordinator, elementary supervisor, or building principal) from each district was asked to choose at random, from among the pupils who had been in the district continuously from the time of transition, five boys and five girls from Grades 4 and 6, respectively, to participate in the study. Thus, fifteen children of each sex at each grade level from each transition time served as subjects, for a total sample of 240 children.

Collection and Analysis of Data

The reading and spelling subtests of the California Achievement Tests, Elementary, Form Y, 1957 Edition, were used to assess achievement in reading and spelling. Administration and scoring procedures were in accord with directions given in the manual. To assess pupils' achievement in handwriting, both speed and legibility were considered. A task and scoring procedure were devised specifically for the study. The testing was completed in a single session with the pupils from each school.

The basic handwriting task was to copy the following selection, which includes the standard sentences that appear in the two scales that were used to make legibility ratings:

Sometimes the fox teases the poodle. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. But they really are good friends. The quick brown

fox just came over to greet the lazy poodle. Later on they will share a can of dog food for lunch, and then they will take a nap in the sun. Now the fox is going to chase rabbits and the lazy poodle is going to take a nap before lunch.

The pupils were instructed to copy the selection in their normal handwriting. They were neither given more explicit instructions nor told the purpose for which they were writing. The samples were written with pencils on standard 8½" x 11" lined paper. Exactly 1 minute after they had begun the task, the pupils were asked to stop and put a large X after the last word written. Then they were permitted to complete the task, untimed and without further interruptions.

Each pupil's speed of writing score was the number of letters he produced during the first minute of the copying task. Two legibility scores were obtained for each pupil by making use of two different legibility scales. The two ratings provided a reliability check on the scales used. Assignment of the legibility ratings can be briefly described.

Three judges rated the two standard sentences produced by each pupil according to the appropriate scale. The sentence "The quick brown fox just came over to greet the lazy poodle" was rated with the 7-point Handwriting Scale provided with the California achievement battery. The sentence "The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog" was rated with a 7-point scale—referred to hereafter as the Wisconsin Scale—that was devised for this study according to procedures outlined by Herrick and Erlebacher (6: Chapter 8). Interjudge reliability ratings were .77, .69, and .72 with the California Scale and .85, .80, and .74 with the Wisconsin Scale. When the average of the three judges' ratings was assigned as the legibility score for each sample sentence, the between scales correlation was .79.

Separate analyses of variance were run with each of the following sets of scores: raw scores from the vocabulary, comprehension, total reading and spelling subtests of the California; total numbers of letters produced in one minute; and the totals of the three judges' legibility ratings with the California and with the Wisconsin scale. The scores were categorized by transition time, grade, sex, and school.

Results

Reading

Mean reading scores are given by grade and transition time in Table 2. The variance analysis of the total reading scores revealed no significant transition time effect, nor were there significant effects by school or sex. As would be expected, total reading scores did differ by grade ($p <$

Table 2.—Mean Reading Scores of Fourth- and Sixth-Grade Children Categorized According to Time of Transition from Manuscript to Cursive Handwriting

| Test | Grade | Handwriting Transition Time | | | |
|---------------|-------|-----------------------------|------------|----------|------------|
| | | Fall 2nd | Spring 2nd | Fall 3rd | Spring 3rd |
| Reading | 4 | 33.8 | 31.6 | 34.6 | 35.3 |
| Vocabulary | 6 | 43.9 | 41.0 | 37.9 | 42.1 |
| Reading | 4 | 43.2 | 43.7 | 48.0 | 45.2 |
| Comprehension | 6 | 57.6 | 57.1 | 57.6 | 56.8 |
| Total Reading | 4 | 77.0 | 75.3 | 82.6 | 80.5 |
| | 6 | 101.5 | 98.1 | 95.5 | 98.9 |

.005). No significant transition time or sex effects were shown by the comprehension or vocabulary subtest variance analyses; but there was a significant difference among schools on both the vocabulary ($p < .05$) and the comprehension ($p < .05$).




FIGURE 1. Interaction between transition time and grade for the vocabulary subtest of the California Reading Test

.05) subtests. Differential factors operating within the schools apparently were of greater consequence in later performance on the subtests than was transition time.

For a graphic description of the significant interaction ($p < .05$) between transition time and grade on the vocabulary subtest see Figure 1. It will be noted that for both fourth- and sixth-grade children, spring transition time in grade two appears to be associated with retarded vocabulary development; whereas, transition in the fall of the third grade appears to benefit the vocabulary development of fourth graders but to impair performance among sixth graders. In view of the insignificant transition time main effects and the inconsistent interaction pattern, it appears that transition time was of little or no importance as a factor in determining fourth and sixth graders' performance in reading.

Spelling

Mean spelling test scores are given by grade and transition time in Table 3. Significant differences ($p < .025$) were found between groups with different transition times, between sexes ($p < .005$), and between grade levels ($p < .005$). Application of the Scheffé test for multiple comparisons showed that spelling performance differences were significant ($p < .05$) only between the children who made the transition in the spring term of the second grade and those who made the change in the fall term of the third grade. The significant interaction between transition time and sex ($p < .05$) is clarified in Figure 2, where it will be noted that for both boys and girls the best spelling performance was, on the average, attained by the group that made the transition in the fall term of the third grade. A highly significant interaction was also found between transition time and school ($p < .005$). In other words, while transition time apparently was a factor of some consequence in later spelling performance, its effect varied with school and with sex. Spelling performance, then, was influenced significantly by factors other than transition time. For example, it is clear in Figure 2 that the spelling

Table 3.—Mean Spelling Scores of Fourth- and Sixth-Grade Children Categorized According to Time of Transition from Manuscript to Cursive Handwriting

| Test | Grade | Handwriting Transition Time | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------------------------|------------|----------|------------|
| | | Fall 2nd | Spring 2nd | Fall 3rd | Spring 3rd |
| Spelling | 4 | 17.1 | 16.6 | 20.6 | 17.1 |
| | 6 | 23.7 | 22.0 | 23.9 | 22.6 |




FIGURE 2. Interaction between transition time and grade for the California Achievement Test in spelling

performance of girls by transition times was on the average superior to that of the boys, with the exception of spring transition at grade three when the boys showed a slight superiority.

Handwriting Performance

As children move through the elementary grades and develop skill in handwriting, volume of letter production increases and precision of letter formation improves. In assessing the effect of transition time on handwriting performance the factors of speed and legibility were both considered, each being evaluated separately.

The variance analysis showed that the subjects' rate of writing as evidenced by letter production per unit of time differed significantly ($p < .005$) by transition time. No significant differences in writing rates were found between boys and girls or between schools. Mean numbers of letters written per minute are given by transition time and grade in Table 4. Rate of letter production was greater ($p < .005$) among sixth graders than among fourth graders. Among the fourth graders, letter production was greater for those who made

Table 4.—Mean Handwriting Scores of Fourth- and Sixth-Grade Children Categorized According to Time of Transition from Manuscript to Cursive Handwriting

| Test | Grade | Handwriting Transition Time | | | |
|------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|------------|----------|------------|
| | | Fall 2nd | Spring 2nd | Fall 3rd | Spring 3rd |
| Number of Letters per Minute | 4 | 32.1 | 38.6 | 35.5 | 44.1 |
| | 6 | 56.6 | 47.6 | 52.6 | 57.7 |
| Wisconsin Scale | 4 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 9.2 | 7.9 |
| | 6 | 11.2 | 12.6 | 10.8 | 10.5 |
| California Scale | 4 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 9.8 | 8.6 |
| | 6 | 12.2 | 11.7 | 12.9 | 12.0 |

the transition during the spring rather than fall term of either second or third grade; but no consistent pattern is apparent for sixth graders. The significant interaction ($p < .005$) between transition time and grade is graphically presented in Figure 3. Poorest performance on the average was noted for those fourth-grade children who made the transition in the fall term of grade two;




FIGURE 3. Interaction between transition time and grade for rate of letter production

whereas, the sixth graders who made the transition in the spring of the second grade wrote most slowly. Best performance for both sixth and fourth graders was achieved by subjects who had made the transition during the spring term of grade three. In general, it would seem that in terms of speed of writing as evidenced by intermediate grade children, transition from manuscript to cursive handwriting is best made sometime during the third grade rather than the second.

In respect to handwriting legibility as evaluated by the Wisconsin Scale, significant differences ($p < .025$) were found according to the time the transition was made. For mean legibility scores see Table 4. Application of the Scheffé test indicated that significant differences ($p < .05$) in legibility occurred only between those pupils who made the transition in the spring term of the second grade and those who made the transition in the spring term of the third grade. On the average, the best performance was achieved by those who made the transition in the spring term of the second grade. It should also be noted that significant differences in legibility were found for grade ($p < .005$) and sex ($p < .005$). The complex interplay of factors is shown by the significant triple interaction ($p < .025$) among transition time, grade, and sex (see Figure 4). The effects attributable to grade and sex are clearly evident. The influence of time of transition is less clear, but apparently it varies with both grade level and sex. For example, the most favorable time for transition for girls was the spring term of the second grade; yet this was the least favorable time for the sixth grade boys. In respect to legibility as measured by the Wisconsin Scale, late transition appears in general to result in relatively poor legibility.

Legibility as evaluated by the California Scale was not significantly influenced by transition time. There were, however, significant differences by sex ($p < .005$) and by grade level ($p < .005$) similar to those found with the Wisconsin Scale. It is interesting to note that there was a significant interaction between transition time and school with both the Wisconsin Scale ($p < .005$) and the California Scale ($p < .05$). Apparently the effect of transition time upon subsequent handwriting legibility varies from school to school.

Discussion and Conclusions

It is apparent that the school term when the transition from manuscript to cursive handwriting is made is not critical in terms of the child's later elementary school reading performance. Thus, the argument that it is important to delay the transition to cursive handwriting until the




FIGURE 4. Triple interaction between transition time, grade, and sex for handwriting legibility as determined by the Wisconsin Scale

later primary years, after symbol perception is presumably well established, is not supported by the present data. Although the impact of manuscript writing upon initial symbol perception is not fully clarified by this investigation, there appears to be support for reconsideration of the effect of introducing cursive writing in first grade upon symbol perception in reading. In view of the fact that a) there is little evidence to indicate that manuscript writing has a positive effect upon symbol perception and b) the ultimate objective continues to be legible cursive writing, introduction of cursive writing in grade one would appear to be defensible, at least in terms of its impact upon reading performance.

Late transition time was found to have a significant positive effect upon subsequent spelling performance; however, in view of the significant interaction between transition time and school and between transition time and sex, one might question the meaning which can be attached to this effect. For example, girls are better spellers than boys, but this is not necessarily a function of transition time. Furthermore, although spelling performance was not found to differ significantly among schools, the effect of transition time was dependent upon schools. That is, at the time of transition or later, the presence of a certain set of conditions in some schools tended to result in significant relationships between transition time and spelling performance. Perhaps a careful analysis of the instructional practices in handwriting

and spelling in these schools would help to clarify the nature of these conditions. One might speculate, for example, that the extent to which instruction in handwriting and spelling is coordinated is the critical factor; specific attention to the impact of the transition in both areas should tend to nullify any negative effect upon spelling.

In terms of speed of writing, the data indicate that postponement of the transition until the last half of the third grade is best. In a sense this is strange because pupils who had the least time to develop speed (spring grade three to grade four testing) scored best on the speed trials (see Figure 3). Furthermore, in view of the tendency for fourth graders to show the highest legibility with early transition and poorest legibility with late transition (spring grade three), it appears that the price for the increased speed associated with late transition is decreased legibility.

Taken as a whole, the present data offer only meager support at best for any one of the widely used transition times considered in this study. The impact of transition time upon subsequent reading performance appears to be very slight. Its impact upon subsequent spelling performance appears to be dependent upon local practices. And the implications of its impact upon subsequent handwriting performance are dissipated by the conflict inherent in the fact that rapid writing is associated with late transition and legible writing is associated with early transition. The conclusion to be drawn seems to be that when the transition is made is less important than what is offered in the instructional program.

The problem of whether there should be a transition at all is, of course, unresolved. In fact, any one of the following approaches would eliminate the need for a transition: (1) introduce and stay with manuscript writing; (2) introduce and stay with cursive writing; (3) introduce and develop manuscript and cursive writing simultaneously. Research designed to determine whether any of these approaches is associated with superior per-

formance in reading, spelling, and handwriting at various developmental stages is still needed.

FOOTNOTE

1. The research reported herein was supported by the Wisconsin Research and Development Center for Cognitive Learning, The Laboratory for Research in Basic Skills, and the Research Committee of the Graduate School, The University of Wisconsin.

REFERENCES

1. Ames, Louise B., and Ilg, F. L. "Developmental Trends in Writing Behavior," *Pedagogical Seminary and Journal of Genetic Psychology*, LXXIX (September, 1951), pp. 29-46.
2. Cutright, Prudence. "Script-Print and Beginning Reading and Spelling," *Elementary English Review*, XIII (April, 1936), pp. 139-141.
3. Freeman, Frank N. "The Transition from Manuscript to Cursive Writing," *Elementary English*, XXXV (October, 1958), pp. 366-372.
4. Harris, Theodore L. "Handwriting," in Chester W. Harris (ed.) *Encyclopedia of Educational Research*, Third Edition. (New York: Macmillan, 1960), pp. 616-624.
5. Heese, J. DeV. "The Use of Manuscript Writing in South African Schools," *Journal of Educational Research*, XL (November, 1946), pp. 161-177.
6. Herrick, Virgil E. (ed.) *New Horizons for Research in Handwriting*. (Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 1963.)
7. Washburne, Carleton, and Morphett, Mabel Vogel. "Manuscript Writing—Some Recent Investigations," *Elementary School Journal*, XXXVII (March, 1937), pp. 517-529.
8. Winch, W. H. "Print Script and Cursive Script in Schools," *Forum of Education*, IV (June, 1926), pp. 123-138, and (November, 1926), pp. 206-222.

RESEARCH BRIEF (Continued from page 200)

FOOTNOTES

1. A paper presented at the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Denver, 1968. Supported by Grant #3-1751 of the Oregon State University General Research Fund.
2. Now at Colorado State University.

REFERENCES

1. Adams, J. F. "The Reliability and Accuracy of Commercial Machine Scoring of the Strong Vocational Interest Blank," *Journal of Educational Research* (1965), 2, pp. 85-90.
2. Burack, B. "Have You Checked Machine Scoring Error Lately?" *The Vocational Guidance Quarterly* (1961), 9, pp. 191-193.
3. Campbell, D. P. "Note: A Comparison of the Performances of Four SVIB Scoring Services," *Journal of Educational Measurement* (1965), 2, pp. 218-219.
4. Merwin, J. C., Bradley, A. D., Johnson, R. H., and John, E. R. "SVIB Machine Scoring Provided by a Test Scoring Agency," *The Personnel and Guidance Journal* (1965), 7, pp. 665-668.
5. Weigel, R. G., Roehlke, A., and Poe, C. "Re-examining Machine Scoring Error," *The Vocational Guidance Quarterly* (1965), 13, pp. 209-211.